

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATHS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 950 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/811,063	03/25/2004	Wolfgang Pfeifer	13913-170US1/2001P00030WO 8061			
32864 7590 05/09/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C.			EXAMINER			
PO BOX 1022	,		PRICE, N	PRICE, NATHAN E		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2194			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/09/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/811,063	PFEIFER, WOLFGANG	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nathan Price	2194	

Delote the filling of all Appear Direct	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nathan Price	2194			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	!ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	ly expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In , will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since		
AMENDMENTS		*** *** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i E below),			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: The claim amendments specify two hierar	<u>chies.</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.	33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$			·		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		•		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
		\sim			
		LIAM THOMSON DRY PATENT EXAM	MINER		

301 (11100111